# Almost split sequences in tri-eaxct categories

Shiping Liu<sup>\*</sup>, Hongwei Niu Université de Sherbrooke

## Advance in Representation Theory of Algebras

# In memory of

# Daniel Simson and Andrzej Skowroński

September 19 - 23, 2022

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Under the Hom-finite setting, many researchers have studied the existence of

- almost split sequences in abelian categories and exact categories (Aulander, Reiten, Bautista, Lenzing, Zuazua, etc);
- almost split triangles in triangulated categories and their extension-closed subcategories (Happel, Reiten, Van den Bergh, Jørgensen, etc).

# Auslander's Theorem

- Λ: any ring.
- $Z \in \operatorname{Mod} A$  finitely presented, non-projective,  $\operatorname{End}(Z)$  local.
- $\Sigma := \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Tr} Z)^{\operatorname{op}}.$
- *I*: the injective envelope of  $\operatorname{End}(Z)/\operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{End}(Z))_{\Sigma}$ .

$$X := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma}(\operatorname{Tr} Z, I) \in \operatorname{Mod} A.$$

## Theorem (Auslander)

- $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda}(-,X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(Z,-),I).$
- **2**  $\operatorname{Mod} A$  has an almost split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

- $\mathcal{A}$ : triangulated category, generated by the compact objects.
- $Z \in \mathcal{A}$  compact with  $\Sigma = \operatorname{End}(Z)$  being local.
- *I*: the injective envelope of  $\operatorname{End}(Z)/\operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{End}(Z))_{\Sigma}$ .

# Theorem (Krause)

• (BROWN) There exists 
$$X \in \mathcal{A}$$
 such that  
 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, -), I).$ 

**2**  $\mathcal{A}$  has an almost split triangle

$$X[-1] \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X.$$

# Objective

To unify various existence theorems of

- almost split sequences in abelian categories
- almost split triangles in triangulated categories

under setting of tri-exact categories without Hom-finiteness.

## Application

Existence of almost split triangles in  $D(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $D^b(\mathfrak{A})$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is abelian category without Hom-finiteness.

# Tri-exact categories

Let C be a tri-exact category, that is an extension-closed subcategory of a triangulated category A with shift [1].
Given X, Y ∈ C, we put

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y[1]).$$

## Definition

- A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called
  - projectively trivial if, for all  $M \in C$ ,

 $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(f, M) : \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, M) : \delta \mapsto \delta \circ f = 0;$ 

• *injectively trivial* if, for all  $M \in C$ ,

 $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(M,f) : \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(M,X) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(M,Y) : \delta \mapsto f[1] \circ \delta = 0.$ 

Given  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ , we put

## Remark

- $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  is a  $\overline{\operatorname{End}}(Y)$ - $\underline{\operatorname{End}}(X)$ -bimodule.
- $\bullet\,$  If  ${\mathcal C}$  is a triangulated category, then

$$\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) = \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}(X,Y).$$

# Tri-exact structure and almost split sequences

An extension  $\delta \in \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X[1])$  defines exact triangle in  $\mathcal{A}$ 

$$X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{\delta} X[1].$$

Call  $X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z$  tri-exact sequence in C defined by  $\delta$ .

## Remark

The tri-exact sequences in  $\mathcal C$  yields a tri-exact structure.

### Definition

A tri-exact sequence  $X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is almost split if

- *u* is minimal left almost split;
- v is minimal right almost split.

 $\mathscr{C}$ : an extension-closed subcategory of abelian category  $\mathfrak{A}$ .  $\mathscr{C}[0]$ : the additive subcategory of  $D(\mathfrak{A})$  generated by the complexes isomorphic to X[0] with  $X \in \mathscr{C}$ .

### Proposition

- $\mathscr{C}[0]$  is an extension-closed subcategory of  $D(\mathfrak{A})$ .
- $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{C}}(X,Y) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{C}[0]}(X[0],Y[0]), \text{ for all } X,Y \in \mathscr{C}.$
- $0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$  is almost split sequence in  $\mathscr{C}$

 $\Leftrightarrow X[0] \longrightarrow Y[0] \longrightarrow Z[0] \text{ is almost split sequence in } \mathscr{C}[0].$ 

• Every almost split sequence in  $\mathscr{C}[0]$  is of the above form.

- Let  $X, Z \in C$  with End(X) and End(Z) being local.
- **2** Ring homomorphisms  $\Gamma \to \overline{\operatorname{End}}(X)$  and  $\Sigma \to \underline{\operatorname{End}}(Z)$ .
- **3**  $_{\Gamma}I$  : injective co-generator of  $_{\Gamma}\operatorname{End}(X)/\operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{End}(X))$ .
- $I_{\Sigma}$ : injective co-generator of  $\operatorname{End}(Z)/\operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{End}(Z))_{\Sigma}$ .

#### Theorem

 $\mathcal{C}$  has an almost split sequence  $X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(-,X) \text{ is subfunctor of } \operatorname{Hom}_{\Sigma}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,-),I_{\Sigma});$ Soc  $(\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,X)_{\operatorname{End}(Z)}) \neq 0.$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,-) \text{ is subfunctor of } \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{\overline{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(-,X), \Gamma I);$ Soc  $(\operatorname{End}(X)\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,X)) \neq 0.$ 

If C is tri-exact R-category, where R commutative ring, we may choose  $\Gamma = \Sigma = R$ . Application to almost split triangles in derived categories of abelian categories

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Happel's Result

 $\Lambda$ : a finite dimensional algebra over a field k. mod $\Lambda$ : the category of finite dimensional  $\Lambda$ -modules.

### Theorem

(1) If  $M^{{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}} \in D^b(\mathrm{mod} \Lambda)$  is indecomposable, then

•  $D^b(\operatorname{mod} A)$  has an almost split triangle in

$$X^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow Y^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow M^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow X^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}[1]$$

 $\iff M^{\bullet} \cong P^{\bullet}$ , a bounded complex of projective modules.

•  $D^b(\operatorname{mod} A)$  has an almost split triangle

$$M^{\centerdot} \longrightarrow Y^{\centerdot} \longrightarrow Z^{\centerdot} \longrightarrow M^{\centerdot}[1]$$

 $\iff M^{\bullet} \cong I^{\bullet}$ , a bounded complex of injective modules.

(2)  $D^{b}(\text{mod}\Lambda)$  has almost split triangles  $\iff \text{gdim}(\Lambda) < \infty$ .

# Reflexive modules

- *R*: a commutative ring.
- **2**  $I_R$ : a minimal injective co-generator for ModR.
- $D = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, I_{R}) : \operatorname{Mod} R \to \operatorname{Mod} R \text{ is exact.}$
- An *R*-module *M* is *reflexive* if  $\exists$  isomorphism

$$\sigma_{_{\!M}}: M \to D^2M: x \mapsto [f \mapsto f(x)].$$

## Proposition

The category RModR of reflexive R-modules

- is abelian;
- contains all R-modules of finite length;
- admits duality  $D : \operatorname{RMod} R \to \operatorname{RMod} R$ .

• An *R*-category  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *Hom-reflexive* if  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \in \operatorname{RMod} R$ , for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

# Projective resolutions

- $\mathfrak{A}$ : an abelian *R*-category.
- $\mathcal{P}:$  a subcategory of projective objects of  $\mathfrak{A}.$
- $\mathcal{I}:$  a subcategory of injective objects of  $\mathfrak{A}.$

## Definition

- Let  $X^{\bullet}$  be a complex over  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
  - A *projective resolution over*  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $X^{\bullet}$  is quasi-isomorphism

$$p$$
:  $P$ · $\rightarrow X$ ·

where  $P^{\bullet}$  is a bounded-above complex over  $\mathcal{P}$ .

• An *injective co-resolution* over  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $X^{\cdot}$  is quasi-iso

$$q^{\bullet} \colon X^{\bullet} \to I^{\bullet}$$

where  $I^{\bullet}$  is a bounded-below complex over  $\mathcal{I}$ .

# Necessity for the existence of an almost split sequence

- $\mathfrak{A}$ : an abelian *R*-category.
- $\mathcal{P}:$  a subcategory of projective objects of  $\mathfrak{A}.$
- $\mathcal{I}:$  a subcategory of injective objects of  $\mathfrak{A}.$

## Theorem

Consider an almost split triangle

$$X \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} Y \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} Z \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} X \stackrel{\bullet}{[1]}$$

in  $D^*(\mathfrak{A})$  with  $* \in \{\emptyset, b\}$ .

- If Z has a projective resolution over P, then Z ≅ P, a bounded complex over P.
- If X · has an injective co-resolution over I, then X · ≅ I ·, a bounded complex over I.

Let A be any R-algebra.

#### Theorem

If  $D^{b}(ModA)$  has an almost split triangle

$$X^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow Y^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow Z^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow X^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}[1],$$

then

Q· ≃ P·, a bounded complex of projective A-modules.
X· ≃ I·, a bounded complex of injective A-modules.

We shall study the sufficiency for the existence

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $\mathfrak{A}$  : an abelian *R*-category.

 $\mathcal P$  : a subcategory of projective objects of  $\mathfrak A.$ 

### Definition

A functor  $\nu: \mathcal{P} \to \mathfrak{A}$  is called *Nakayama functor* if

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(-,\nu P)\cong D\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(P,-), \text{ for all } P\in \mathcal{P}.$$

In this case,

- $\nu P$  is injective in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- $K^{b}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $K^{b}(\nu \mathcal{P})$ : triangulated subcategories of  $D(\mathfrak{A})$ .

#### Lemma

Given any R-algebra A, we obtain a Nakayama functor

 $\nu_{A} = D \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(-, A) : \operatorname{proj} A \to \operatorname{Mod} A,$ 

projA: category of finitely generated projective A-modules.
injA := ν(projA), a category of injective A-modules.

Many more abelain categories with Nakayama functor

• ModA, where A = kQ/I is locally finite dimensional.

## Proposition

Let  $\nu : \mathcal{P} \to \mathfrak{A}$  be a Nakayama functor.

- It induces a triangle functor  $\nu : K^b(\mathcal{P}) \to D(\mathfrak{A})$ .
- For any  $P^{\bullet} \in K^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ , we have  $\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{A})}(-, \nu P^{\bullet}) \cong D\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{A})}(P^{\bullet}, -).$
- If  $\mathcal{P}$  Hom-reflexive over R, then  $\nu$  co-restricts to an equiv  $\nu : \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu \mathcal{P},$

which induces an equivalence

$$\nu: K^{b}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K^{b}(\nu \mathcal{P}).$$

### Theorem

Let  $\nu : \mathcal{P} \to \mathfrak{A}$  be a Nakayama functor. If  $P^{\bullet} \in K^{b}(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\operatorname{End}(P^{\bullet})$  and  $\operatorname{End}(\nu P^{\bullet})$  local, then  $D^{b}(\mathfrak{A})$  has an almost split triangle

$$\nu P^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}[-1] \longrightarrow M^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow P^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \longrightarrow \nu P^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}},$$

which is also an almost split triangle in  $D(\mathfrak{A})$ .

### Remark

With  $\nu_A : \operatorname{proj} A \to \operatorname{Mod} A$ , where A is R-algebra, the above result applies to  $D^b(\operatorname{Mod} A)$  and  $D(\operatorname{Mod} A)$ . Let  $\nu: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  be Nakayama functor,

- $\mathcal{P}$  is Hom-reflexive over R;
- $\mathfrak{A}$  has enough projectives in  $\mathcal{P}$ ; enough injectives in  $\nu \mathcal{P}$ .

#### Theorem

(1) If  $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(\mathfrak{A})$  such that  $\operatorname{End}(M^{\bullet})$  is local, then

- $D^{b}(\mathfrak{A})$  has almost split triangle  $X \to Y \to M \to X$ ·[1]  $\iff M \colon \cong P \colon \in K^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ ; in this case,  $X \colon \cong \nu P$ ·.
- $D^{b}(\mathfrak{A})$  has almost split triangle  $M^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Y^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Z^{\bullet} \longrightarrow M^{\bullet}[1]$  $\iff M^{\bullet} \cong I^{\bullet} \in K^{b}(\nu \mathcal{P})$ ; in this case,  $Z^{\bullet} \cong \nu^{-}I^{\bullet}$ .
- (2) If 𝔅 Krull-Schmidt, then D<sup>b</sup>(𝔅) has almost split triangles
   ⇔ every object in 𝔅 has
  - a finite projective resolution over  $\mathcal{P}$ ;
  - a finite injective co-resolution over  $\nu \mathcal{P}$ .

### Theorem

### Theorem

### Theorem

### Theorem

### Theorem

#### Theorem

Let  $M^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \in D^{b}(\operatorname{Mod} A)$  with  $\operatorname{End}(M^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}})$  being local.

• If M is a complex over  $mod^+A$ , then  $D^b(ModA)$  has an

#### Theorem

Let  $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(ModA)$  with  $End(M^{\bullet})$  being local.

• If  $M^{\bullet}$  is a complex over  $\operatorname{mod}^{+}A$ , then  $D^{b}(\operatorname{Mod}A)$  has an almost split triangle  $X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Y^{\bullet} \longrightarrow M^{\bullet} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet}[1] \iff$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(ModA)$  with  $End(M^{\bullet})$  being local.

If M<sup>•</sup> is a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle X<sup>•</sup> → Y<sup>•</sup> → M<sup>•</sup> → X<sup>•</sup>[1] ⇔ M<sup>•</sup> has a bounded projective resolution P<sup>•</sup> over projA;

#### Theorem

Let  $M^{\bullet} \in D^{b}(ModA)$  with  $End(M^{\bullet})$  being local.

If M<sup>•</sup> is a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle X<sup>•</sup> → Y<sup>•</sup> → M<sup>•</sup> → X<sup>•</sup>[1] ⇔ M<sup>•</sup> has a bounded projective resolution P<sup>•</sup> over projA; in this case, X<sup>•</sup> ≅ νP<sup>•</sup>[-1], a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A.

#### Theorem

- If M<sup>•</sup> is a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle X<sup>•</sup> → Y<sup>•</sup> → M<sup>•</sup> → X<sup>•</sup>[1] ⇔ M<sup>•</sup> has a bounded projective resolution P<sup>•</sup> over projA; in this case, X<sup>•</sup> ≅ νP<sup>•</sup>[-1], a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A.
- If  $M^{\bullet}$  is a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A, then  $D^{b}(ModA)$  has an

#### Theorem

- If M<sup>•</sup> is a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle X<sup>•</sup> → Y<sup>•</sup> → M<sup>•</sup> → X<sup>•</sup>[1] ⇔ M<sup>•</sup> has a bounded projective resolution P<sup>•</sup> over projA; in this case, X<sup>•</sup> ≅ νP<sup>•</sup>[-1], a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A.
- If  $M^{\bullet}$  is a complex over  $\operatorname{mod}^{-}A$ , then  $D^{b}(\operatorname{Mod}A)$  has an almost split triangle  $M^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Y^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Z^{\bullet} \longrightarrow M^{\bullet}[1] \iff$

#### Theorem

- If M• is a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle X·→ Y·→ M·→ X·[1] ⇔
  M• has a bounded projective resolution P• over projA; in this case, X· ≅ νP·[-1], a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A.
- If M<sup>•</sup> is a complex over mod<sup>−</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle M<sup>•</sup> → Y<sup>•</sup> → Z<sup>•</sup> → M<sup>•</sup>[1] ⇔ M<sup>•</sup> has a bounded injective co-resolution I<sup>•</sup> over injA;

#### Theorem

- If M• is a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle X·→ Y·→ M·→ X·[1] ⇔
   M• has a bounded projective resolution P• over projA; in this case, X· ≅ νP·[-1], a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A.
- If M<sup>•</sup> is a complex over mod<sup>-</sup>A, then D<sup>b</sup>(ModA) has an almost split triangle M<sup>•</sup> → Y<sup>•</sup> → Z<sup>•</sup> → M<sup>•</sup>[1] ⇔ M<sup>•</sup> has a bounded injective co-resolution I<sup>•</sup> over injA; in this case, Z<sup>•</sup> ≅ ν<sup>-</sup>I<sup>•</sup>[1], a complex over mod<sup>+</sup>A.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

where R is complete noetherian local commutative ring.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

where R is complete noetherian local commutative ring.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Corollary

The global dimension of A is finite.

where R is complete noetherian local commutative ring.

## Corollary

The global dimension of A is finite.

⇐ Every indecomposable complex in D<sup>b</sup>(mod<sup>-</sup>A) is starting term of an almost split triangle in D<sup>b</sup>(ModA).

where R is complete noetherian local commutative ring.

## Corollary

The global dimension of A is finite.

- ⇐ Every indecomposable complex in D<sup>b</sup>(mod<sup>-</sup>A) is starting term of an almost split triangle in D<sup>b</sup>(ModA).
- $\iff$  Every indecomposable complex in  $D^{b}(\text{mod}^{+}A)$  is ending term of an almost split triangle in  $D^{b}(\text{Mod}A)$ .