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## Introduction

The aim of these notes is to report some new developments on the problem of describing all possible shapes of the connected components of the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ of an artin algebra $A$. The problem is interesting since the shapes of these components carry some important information of the module category of $A$. For instance the algebra $A$ is hereditary if and only if $\Gamma_{A}$ has a connected component of shape $\mathbf{N} \Delta$ where $\Delta$ is a quiver without oriented cycles such that the number of its vertices is the same as that of simple $A$-modules. More importantly, by analyzing the structure of Auslander-Reiten components, Riedtmann classified the self-injective algebras of finite representation type $[\mathbf{4 4}, 45,46]$, and Erdmann did the same for the blocks of finite groups with a dihedral or semidihedral defect group. And remarkably Erdmann has recently showed that the representation type of a block of a finite group is determined by the shapes of the connected components of its Auslander-Reiten quiver [26]. More generally in any preprojective or preinjective Auslander-Reiten components, modules are determined by their composition factors and the maps are sums of composites of irreducible maps [29]. Furthermore modules in a quasi-serial Auslander-Reiten component behave like serial modules [47].
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For some classes of algebras (namely, hereditary algebras $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{4 7}]$, tilted algebras $[\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 5}, 38,51]$, tubular algebras [49] and group algebras [14, $\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{4 3}, 56]$ ), the shapes of the connected components of their AuslanderReiten quivers have been described completely. For a general artin algebra $A$ one approach to the problem, which was initialized by Riedtmann [44], is to delete the $D T r$-orbits containing projective or injective modules from $\Gamma_{A}$ to obtain a well-behaved subquiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, called the stable part of $\Gamma_{A}$, and then to recover $\Gamma_{A}$ from ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$. The possible shapes of the connected components of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ are described by the works of Riedtmann [44], Todorov [55], Happel-Preiser-Ringel [30] and Zhang [58].

The disadvantage of investigating ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ is that the stable part of a connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$ does not contain the most important modules (that is, projective or injective modules), and sometimes it is even empty. Thus we use replacements for ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, which are almost as well-behaved, but carry more information of $\Gamma_{A}$. We delete from $\Gamma_{A}$ the $D T r$-orbits of projective modules to obtain the left stable part ${ }_{l} \Gamma_{A}$ of $\Gamma_{A}$ and delete the $\operatorname{Tr} D$-orbits of injective modules to get the right stable part ${ }_{r} \Gamma_{A}$. In these notes we shall present a complete description of the possible shapes of the connected components of the quivers ${ }_{l} \Gamma_{A},{ }_{r} \Gamma_{A}$ and some applications.

Most of the results in these notes are reformulations of those found in $[36,37,38,39,40]$ with shorter proofs. However, there exist also some new results, namely Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 5.6. We are indebted to Skowronski for some useful discussions.

## 1. Degrees of Irreducible Maps

Throughout these notes, we denote by $A$ a fixed artin algebra and by $\Re$ the Jacobson radical of $\bmod A$, the category of finitely generated right $A$-modules. Recall that for $m>0$, the $m$-th power $\Re^{m}$ of $\Re$ is defined so that for any modules $X, Y$ in $\bmod A, \Re^{m}(X, Y)$ consists of the maps $X \rightarrow Y$ which can be written as a sum of composites of $m$ maps in $\Re$, and the infinite radical of $\bmod A$ is defined to be the intersection of the $\Re^{m}$ with $m>0$.

We denote by $\Gamma_{A}$ the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $A$ and by $\tau$ and $\tau^{-}$the Auslander-Reiten translations $D \operatorname{Tr}$ and $\operatorname{Tr} D$ respectively. We do not distinguish between an indecomposable module $X$ in $\bmod A$ and the corresponding
vertex $[X]$, that is the isoclass of $X$ in $\Gamma_{A}$. We shall use freely the standard notions and results of Auslander-Reiten theory which can be found in $[3,4$, $5]$.

We devote this section to introduce the notion of degrees of an irreducible map and study some of their properties. This notion emerged from a discussion with Brenner and Butler on the problem as to when the composite of $n$ irreducible maps falls into $\Re^{n+1}$. A partial solution to this problem is the following result of Igusa and Todorov.
1.1. Proposition [33]. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let

$$
X_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X_{n}
$$

be a chain of irreducible maps between indecomposable modules in $\bmod A$. If $X_{i-1} \not \not 二 \operatorname{DTr} X_{i+1}$ for all $0<i<n$, then $f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{n}$ is not in $\Re^{n+1}$.

On the other hand we provide an example suggested by Skowronski where the composite of two irreducible maps is a non-zero map in the infinite radical. Let $K$ be a field, and let $B$ be the $K$-algebra given by the bound quiver consisting of one vertex with two loops $x, y$ which satisfy the relations $x^{2}=y^{2}=x y=y x=0$. Let $\lambda \in K^{*}$, and let $M$ be the 2-dimensional representation with

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
\lambda & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $M$ is the quasi-simple module of a homogeneous tube, and the endomorphism

$$
\eta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

of $M$ factors through the simple representation. So $\eta$ is in the infinite radical. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0
$$

be an almost split sequence. Then $\eta=\phi g$ for some $\phi: M \rightarrow E$. If $\phi$ is not irreducible, then $f+\phi$ is irreducible and $\eta=(f+\phi) g$.
1.2. Definition. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an irreducible map in $\bmod A$. Define the left degree $d_{l}(f)$ of $f$ to be infinity if for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any map
$\theta: M \rightarrow X$ in $\Re^{n} \backslash \Re^{n+1}$, we have $\theta f \notin \Re^{n+2}$. Otherwise it is defined to be the least positive integer $m$ such that there exists some $\theta \in \Re^{m} \backslash \Re^{m+1}$ with $\theta f \in \Re^{m+2}$. We define the right degree $d_{r}(f)$ of $f$ in a dual manner.

For example, if $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$ is an almost split sequence, then $d_{l}(g)=1$ and $d_{r}(f)=1$.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the above definition.
1.3. Lemma. The following statements hold for an artin algebra $A$ :
(1) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an irreducible map in $\bmod A$. If $Y^{\prime}$ is a direct summand of $Y$ and $g$ is the co-restriction of $f$ to $Y^{\prime}$, then $d_{l}(g) \leq d_{l}(f)$. Dually if $X^{\prime}$ is a direct summand of $X$ and $h$ is the restriction of $f$ to $X^{\prime}$, then $d_{r}(h) \leq d_{r}(f)$.
(2) Each chain of irreducible maps in $\bmod A$ of length $n$ with the composite in $\Re^{n+1}$ contains at least one maps of finite left degree and one of finite right degree.

The following lemma and its dual are crucial in the study of degrees of irreducible maps.
1.4. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\theta: M \rightarrow X$ be a map in $\Re^{n} \backslash \Re^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 1$ an integer. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an irreducible map in $\bmod A$ with $Y$ indecomposable. If $\theta f \in \Re^{n+2}$, then
(1) $Y$ is not projective, and
(2) for an almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \operatorname{Tr} Y \xrightarrow{\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)} X \oplus X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\binom{f}{f^{\prime}}} Y \rightarrow 0$ in $\bmod A$, there exists a map $\zeta: M \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \operatorname{Tr} Y \notin \Re^{n}$ such that $\theta+\zeta g \in \Re^{n+1}$ and $\zeta g^{\prime} \in \Re^{n+1}$.

Proof. Assume that $\theta f \in \Re^{n+2}$. Then $\theta f=s t$ with $s \in \Re^{n+1}$ and $t \in \Re$. Let

$$
\binom{f}{f^{\prime}}: X \oplus X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y
$$

be a sink map for $Y$. Then $t$ has a factorization $t=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)\binom{f}{f^{\prime}}$. Hence

$$
\left(s u-\theta, s u^{\prime}\right)\binom{f}{f^{\prime}}=0
$$

Since $s u-\theta \neq 0, Y$ is not projective. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow \tau Y \xrightarrow{\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)} X \oplus X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\binom{f}{f^{\prime}}} Y \rightarrow 0
$$

be an almost split sequence. Then there exists a map $\zeta: M \rightarrow \tau Y$ such that $\left(s u-\theta, s u^{\prime}\right)=\zeta\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $(\theta+\zeta g, \zeta g)=\left(s u, s u^{\prime}\right) \in \Re^{n+1}$. Moreover $\theta \notin \Re^{n+1}$ implies that $\zeta \notin \Re^{n}$. The proof is completed.

Let $X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n}$ be a path in $\Gamma_{A}$. Recall that the path is sectional if $X_{i-1} \neq D \operatorname{Tr} X_{i+1}$ for all $0<i<n$, and more generally it is pre-sectional if for all $0<i<n$, either $X_{i+1}$ is projective or otherwise $\operatorname{DTr} X_{i+1} \oplus X_{i-1}$ is a direct summand of the domain of a sink map for $X_{i}$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.4, we have the following.
1.5. Corollary. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an irreducible map in $\bmod A$ of finite left degree. Assume that $Y$ is indecomposable and that

$$
Y_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{0}=Y
$$

is a pre-sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ such that $X \oplus Y_{1}$ is a direct summand of the domain of a sink map for $Y$. Then the $Y_{i}$ are not projective, and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an irreducible map $f_{i}: \mathrm{DTr} Y_{i-1} \rightarrow Y_{i}$ such that $d_{l}\left(f_{n}\right)<\cdots<d_{l}\left(f_{1}\right)<d_{l}(f)$. In particular $d_{l}(f)>n$.

Recall that the valuation $\left(d_{X Y}, d_{X Y}^{\prime}\right)$ of an arrow $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\Gamma_{A}$ is defined so that $d_{X Y}$ is the multiplicity of $Y$ in the codomain of a source map for $X$ that is the dimension of $\Re(X, Y) / \Re^{2}(X, Y)$ over $\operatorname{End}(Y) / \Re(\operatorname{End}(Y))$, and $d_{X Y}^{\prime}$ is the multiplicity of $X$ in the domain of a sink map for $Y$ that is the dimension of $\Re(X, Y) / \Re^{2}(X, Y)$ over $\operatorname{End}(X) / \Re(\operatorname{End}(X))$.
1.6. Proposition. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow in $\Gamma_{A}$ with valuation $\left(d_{X Y}, d_{X Y}^{\prime}\right)$. If $d_{X Y}>1$ and $d_{X Y}^{\prime}>1$, then all irreducible maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ have infinite left and right degrees.

Proof. Assume that $d_{X Y}, d_{X Y}^{\prime}>1$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an irreducible map. For $n \geq 0$, the path

$$
\tau^{n} X \rightarrow \tau^{n} Y \rightarrow \tau^{n-1} X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y
$$

is pre-sectional. Note that $X \oplus X$ is a direct summand of the domain of a sink map for $Y$. If $\tau^{n} X$ or $\tau^{n} Y$ is projective for some $n$, then $f$ has infinite left degree by Corollary 1.5. Otherwise $d_{l}(f)>n$ for all $n$. Hence the left degree of $f$ is infinite. Finally $f$ has infinite right degree by the dual of Corollary 1.5.
1.7. Corollary. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be irreducible maps in $\bmod A$ with $X, Y$ indecomposable. Then $d_{l}(f)=d_{l}(g)$ and $d_{r}(f)=d_{r}(g)$.

Proof. Let $\left(d_{X Y}, d_{X Y}^{\prime}\right)$ be the valuation of the arrow $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\Gamma_{A}$. If $d_{X Y}>1$ and $d_{X Y}^{\prime}>1$ then by Proposition 1.6, $d_{l}(f), d_{l}(g), d_{r}(f)$ and $d_{r}(g)$ are all infinite. Otherwise $f-g a \in \Re^{2}$ for some $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ or $f-b g \in \Re^{2}$ for some $b \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$. It is now clear that $d_{l}(f)=d_{l}(g), d_{r}(f)=d_{r}(g)$.

By the above corollary the following definition makes sense.
1.8. Definition. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow in $\Gamma_{A}$. Define the left degree and the right degree of the arrow $X \rightarrow Y$ to be those of an irreducible map $f: X \rightarrow Y$.
1.9. Proposition. Let $A$ be an artin algebra. Then each oriented cycle in $\Gamma_{A}$ contains at least one arrow of finite left degree and at least one of finite right degree.

Proof. Let $X_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} X_{n}=X_{0}$ be a cycle of irreducible maps between indecomposable modules in $\bmod A$. Then $\left(f_{1} \cdots f_{n}\right)^{r}=$ 0 for some $r>0$. Hence at least one of the $f_{i}$ is of finite left degree and one is of finite right degree. This establishes the proposition.
1.10. Proposition. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let

$$
f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right): X \rightarrow Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2}
$$

be an irreducible map in $\bmod A$ with $X, Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ all indecomposable. If $f$ has finite left degree, then there exists an irreducible map

$$
g=\binom{g_{1}}{g_{2}}: \mathrm{D} \operatorname{Tr} Y_{1} \oplus \mathrm{D} \operatorname{Tr} Y_{2} \rightarrow X
$$

with $d_{l}(g)<d_{l}(f)$.

Proof. Let $d_{l}(f)=m$. Then there exists $\theta: M \rightarrow X \in \Re^{m} \backslash \Re^{m+1}$ such that $\theta f \in \Re^{m+2}$. So $\theta f_{i} \in \Re^{m+2}$ for $i=1,2$. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow \tau Y_{i} \xrightarrow{\left(g_{i}, p_{i}\right)} X \oplus Z_{i} \xrightarrow{\binom{f_{i}}{q_{i}}} Y_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

be an almost split sequence for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. By Lemma 1.4, there exists $\zeta_{i}: M \rightarrow \tau Y_{i} \notin \Re^{m}$ such that $\theta+\zeta_{i} g_{i} \in \Re^{m+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Hence we have

$$
\left(\zeta_{1},-\zeta_{2}\right)\binom{g_{1}}{g_{2}} \in \Re^{m+1}
$$

Since $\left(\zeta_{1},-\zeta_{2}\right) \notin \Re^{m}$, it suffices to show that

$$
\binom{g_{1}}{g_{2}}: \tau Y_{1} \oplus \tau Y_{2} \rightarrow X
$$

is irreducible. Assume that this was not the case. Then we may assume that $Y_{1}=Y_{2}$ and $Z_{1}=Z_{2}$. Furthermore $g_{1}=g_{2} a-\eta$ where $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and $\eta \in \Re^{2}$. We now have factorizations $\eta=g_{1} u_{1}+p_{1} u_{2}$ with $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \Re$ and $a p_{2}=g_{1} v_{1}+p_{1} v_{2}$. Note $v_{1} \in \Re$ since $X$ is not a direct summand of $Z_{1}$ by Proposition 1.6. So

$$
a\left(g_{2}, p_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1}, p_{1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1+u_{1} & v_{1} \\
u_{2} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+u_{1} & v_{1} \\ u_{2} & v_{2}\end{array}\right)$ is an automophism. Thus there exists $b \in \operatorname{Aut}(Z)$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1+u_{1} & v_{1} \\
u_{2} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{2}}{q_{2}}=\binom{f_{1}}{q_{1}} b .
$$

Then $f_{1} b-f_{2}=u_{1} f_{2}+v_{1} q_{2} \in \Re^{2}$, and hence $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right): X \rightarrow Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2}$ is not irreducible, which is a contradiction.
1.11. Definition. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow in $\Gamma_{A}$. The global left degree of $X \rightarrow Y$ is the minimum of left degrees of all possible arrows $D T r^{n} X \rightarrow$ $D \operatorname{Tr}^{n} Y, D \operatorname{Tr}^{n+1} Y \rightarrow D \operatorname{Tr}^{n} X$ with $n \geq 0$. The global right degree of $X \rightarrow Y$ is defined in a dual manner.

A module $X \in \Gamma_{A}$ is said to be left stable if $D \operatorname{Tr}^{n} X \neq 0$ for all $n>0$, right stable if $\operatorname{Tr} D^{n} X \neq 0$ for all $n>0$ and stable if it is both left and right stable.
1.12. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra.
(1) Let

$$
\cdots \rightarrow X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}
$$

and

$$
\cdots \rightarrow Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{0}
$$

be infinite pre-sectional paths in $\Gamma_{A}$ containing only left stable modules. If $X_{0}=Y_{0}$ and there exists an irreducible map from $X_{1} \oplus Y_{1}$ to $X_{0}$, then the arrow $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{i}$ has infinite global left degree for all $i \geq 0$.
(2) Let

$$
\cdots \rightarrow X_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0} \rightarrow X_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{-i} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

be a double infinite pre-sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ containing only left stable modules. Then $X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ has infinite global left degree for all integers $i$.

Proof. (1) follows from Corollary 1.5, and (2) follows from (1).

## 2. Semi-stable Translation Quivers

In this section we study some general translation quivers. Note that all of our translation quivers are locally finite and admit no multiple arrow; moreover each non-projective vertex has at least one direct predecessor.
2.1. Definition. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected translation quiver with translation $\rho$. A connected full subquiver $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ is said to be a section if

S1. There exists no oriented cycle in $\Delta$.
S2. Each $\rho$-orbit in $\Gamma$ meets $\Delta$ exactly once.
S3. Each path in $\Gamma$ with end-points in $\Delta$ lies completely in $\Delta$.
Remark. (1) If $\Delta$ is a section in $\Gamma$ and $i$ is an integer such that $\rho^{i} x$ is defined for all $x \in \Delta$, then the full subquiver $\rho^{i} \Delta$ generated by the vertices $\rho^{i} x$ with $x \in \Delta$, is also a section in $\Gamma$.
(2) In $[\mathbf{1 7}]$, Bongartz also defined the notion of a section of a translation quiver. It turns out that the only difference is that in his definition a section can contain periodic vertices.

The following is an immediate consequence of our definition.
2.2. Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be a translation quiver with translation $\rho$, and let $\Delta$ be a section in $\Gamma$. If $x \rightarrow y$ is an arrow in $\Gamma$, then $x \in \Delta$ implies $y \in \Delta$ or $\rho y \in \Delta$, and $y \in \Delta$ implies $x \in \Delta$ or $\rho^{-} x \in \Delta$.

Let $\Delta$ be a quiver, we denote by $\Delta_{0}$ the set of vertices and by $\Delta_{1}$ the set of arrows. Assume that $\Delta$ has no oriented cycle. Recall that $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ is a translation quiver defined as follows: the vertices are the pairs $(n, x)$ with $n \in \mathbf{Z}, x \in \Delta_{0}$; the arrows are $(n, x) \rightarrow(n, y)$ and $(n+1, y) \rightarrow(n, x)$ where $n \in \mathbf{Z}, x \rightarrow y \in \Delta$; and the translate of $(n, x)$ is $(n-1, x)$. We denote by $\mathbf{N} \Delta$ the full sub-translation-quiver of $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ generated by the vertices $(n, x)$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in \Delta_{0}$.

It is easy to see from the construction that each copy of $\Delta$ in $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$, that is the full subquiver generated by the vertices $(n, x)$ with $x \in \Delta_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $n$ some fixed integer, is a section in $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$. Conversely we have the following observation.
2.3. Proposition. Let $\Gamma$ be a translation quiver with translation $\rho$, and let $\Delta$ be a section in $\Gamma$. Then $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the full subquiver of $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ generated by the vertices $(n, u)$ with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u \in \Delta_{0}$ such that $\rho^{n} u$ is defined. In particular $\Gamma$ contains no oriented cycle.

Proof. By definition $\Delta$ contains no $\rho$-periodic vertex. Let $\Sigma$ be the subquiver of $\Gamma$ consisting of all possible arrows $\rho^{n} u \rightarrow \rho^{n} v, \rho^{n+1} v \rightarrow \rho^{n} u$ with $u \rightarrow v \in \Delta_{1}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $\Sigma$ is isomorphic to the full subquiver of $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ generated by the vertices $(n, u)$, where $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u \in \Delta_{0}$ such that $\rho^{n} x$ is defined. It now suffices to show that $\Gamma_{1}=\Sigma_{1}$.

Let $x \rightarrow y \in \Gamma_{1}$. Then $x=\rho^{n} u, y=\rho^{m} v$ with $u, v \in \Delta ; m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $m=0$ or $n=0$, then $x \rightarrow y \in \Sigma$ by Lemma 2.2. Now assume that $m>0, n>0$. Then either $u \rightarrow \rho^{m-n} v \in \Gamma_{1}$ or $\rho^{n-m} u \rightarrow v \in \Gamma_{1}$. By S2 and Lemma 2.2, one of the following identities

$$
\rho^{m-n} v=v, \rho^{m-n+1} v=v, \rho^{n-m} u=u, \rho^{n-m-1} u=u
$$

holds. Thus either $m=n$ or $n=m+1$. Hence $x \rightarrow y \in \Sigma_{1}$. Similarly we can show that if $m<0$ and $n<0$, then $x \rightarrow y \in \Sigma_{1}$. Now assume that $m<0$ and $n>0$. Then $\Gamma$ contains a path

$$
v \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \rho^{m} v=y \rightarrow \rho^{-} x=\rho^{n-1} u \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u
$$

which is a contradiction to S 3 and S 2 . Similarly we can show that the case where $m>0$ and $n<0$ can not happen. The proof is completed.

Let $\Gamma$ be a translation quiver with translation $\rho$. A vertex $x \in \Gamma$ is said to be left stable if $\rho^{n} x$ is defined for all $n>0$, and right stable if $\rho^{n} x$ is defined for all $n<0$, and finally stable if $\rho^{n} x$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We say that $\Gamma$ is left stable (right stable, stable respectively) if so are all the vertices in $\Gamma$.
2.4. Theorem. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected left stable translation quiver with translation $\rho$. Assume that $\Gamma$ contains at most finitely many injective vertices and no oriented cycle.
(1) There exist vertices in $\Gamma$ which have no injective predecessor.
(2) Let $x \in \Gamma$ be a vertex admitting no injective predecessor. Then each $\rho$-orbit in $\Gamma$ contains a vertex $u$ such that $u$ is a predecessor of $x$ while $\rho^{-} u$ is not, and the full subquiver of $\Gamma$ generated by all such vertices $u$ is a section in $\Gamma$ with $x$ as a unique sink.

Proof. Since $\Gamma$ is connected and left stable, for any vertices $v, v^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma$, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\rho^{r} v$ is a predecessor of $v^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma$.
(1) Pick $w \in \Gamma$. For any injective vertex $p$ in $\Gamma, \rho^{r} w$ is a predecessor of $p$ for some $r \geq 0$. Thus $p$ is not a predecessor of $\rho^{r} w$. Since $\Gamma$ has at most finitely many injective vertices, there exists $s \geq 0$ such that $\rho^{s} w$ has no injective predecessor in $\Gamma$.
(2) Assume that $x$ is a vertex in $\Gamma$ admitting no injective predecessor. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a $\rho$-orbit in $\Gamma$ and $y \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $\rho^{n} y$ is a predecessor of $x$ in $\Gamma$ for some $n \geq 0$. We now claim that $\mathcal{O}$ contains a vertex which is not a predecessor of $x$. Assume that this is not the case. Then $y$ is right stable since $x$ has no injective predecessor in $\Gamma$, and for each $m \geq 0$, there exists a path

$$
\sigma_{m}: \rho^{-m} y=z_{0}^{m} \rightarrow z_{1}^{m} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow z_{i_{m}-1}^{m} \rightarrow z_{i_{m}}^{m}=x
$$

in $\Gamma$. If $\sigma_{m_{0}}$ contains only right stable vertices for some $m_{0} \geq 0$, then there exists $m_{1}>m_{0}$ such that $\Gamma$ contains a path from $x$ to $\rho^{-m_{1}} y$, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ has no oriented cycle. Hence each $\sigma_{m}$ contains a vertex which is not right stable. Thus for each $m \geq 0$, there exists $j_{m}, 0<j_{m} \leq i_{m}$ such that $z_{j_{m}}^{m}$ is not right stable but $z_{k}^{m}$ is right stable for all $k, 0 \leq k<j_{m}$. Write $z_{j_{m}}^{m}=\rho^{r_{m}} q_{m}$ with $q_{m}$ an injective vertex and $r_{m} \geq 0$. Since $\Gamma$ contains at most finitely many injective vertices, there exists an injective vertex $q$ such that $z_{j_{m}}^{m}=\rho^{r_{m}} q$ for infinitely many $m \geq 0$. Note that there exists some $n_{o}$ such that $\rho^{n_{0}} q$ is a predecessor of $y$ in $\Gamma$. Thus for each $r \geq n_{0}, \Gamma$ has no path from $y$ to $\rho^{r} q$. So if $z_{j_{m}}^{m}=\rho^{r_{m}} q$, then $0 \leq r_{m}<n_{0}$. It follows that there exists $s, 0 \leq s<n_{0}$ such that $z_{j_{m}}^{m}=\rho^{s} q$ for infinitely many $m \geq 0$. That is,
there exist infinitely many $m \geq 0$ such that $\Gamma$ contains a path

$$
\delta_{m}: \rho^{-m} y=z_{0}^{m} \rightarrow z_{1}^{m} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow z_{j_{m}-1}^{m} \rightarrow z_{j_{m}}^{m}=\rho^{s} q
$$

with $z_{k}^{m}$ stable for all $0 \leq k<j_{m}$. Let $m^{\prime}$ be an integer such that $\delta_{m^{\prime}}$ exists. Then $\Gamma$ contains a path from $\rho^{s} q$ to $\rho^{-m^{\prime}-j_{m^{\prime}}} x$. Let $m^{\prime \prime}>m^{\prime}+j_{m^{\prime}}$ such that $\delta_{m^{\prime \prime}}$ exists. This gives rise to an oriented cycle in $\Gamma$, which is a contradiction. Thus our claim holds.

Let $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be the set of all $\rho$-orbits in $\Gamma$. Then each $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ contains a unique vertex $u_{i}$ such that $u_{i}$ is a predecessor of $x$ but $\rho^{-} u_{i}$ is not. Let $\Delta$ be the full subquiver generated by the $u_{i}$. Then $\Delta$ has $x$ as a unique sink and satisfies the properties S1 and S2. Let

$$
x_{0} \rightarrow x_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{t-1} \rightarrow x_{t}
$$

be a path in $\Gamma$ with $x_{0}, x_{t} \in \Delta$. Then the $x_{i}$ are all predecessors of $x$ since $x_{t} \in \Delta$, and hence are all non-injective. Therefore if $\rho^{-} x_{i}$ is a predecessor of $x$ for some $i<t$, then so is $\rho^{-} x_{0}$, which contradicts that $x_{0} \in \Delta$. That is, the $x_{i}$ are all in $\Delta$. So $\Delta$ is a section in $\Gamma$.

We shall now consider semi-stable translation quivers with oriented cycles. We first recall the constructions of coray insertion and ray insertion from [22].

A vertex $x$ in a translation quiver $\Gamma$ is called a coray vertex if there exists an infinite sectional path

$$
\cdots \rightarrow x_{n} \rightarrow x_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{2} \rightarrow x_{1}=x
$$

called a coray, in $\Gamma$ with pairwise different vertices such that for each integer $i>0$, the path $x_{i+1} \rightarrow x_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{2} \rightarrow x_{1}$ is the only sectional path of length $i$ in $\Gamma$ which ends with $x_{1}$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a translation quiver with translation $\rho$, and let $x$ be a coray vertex in $\Gamma$ with a coray as above. For a positive integer $n$, we construct a new translation quiver $\Gamma[x, n]$ by inserting $n$ corays into $\Gamma$ as follows. The vertices of $\Gamma[x, n]$ are those of $\Gamma$ together with the pairs $(i, j)$ with $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. The arrows of $\Gamma[x, n]$ are those of $\Gamma$, excluding the arrows $y \rightarrow x_{i}$ with $i \geq 1$ other than $x_{i+1} \rightarrow x_{i}$, together with the following arrows:
$(i+1, j) \rightarrow(i, j)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$;
$(i, j+1) \rightarrow(i+1, j)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j<n$;

$$
(n+i-1,1) \rightarrow x_{i} \text { for } i \geq 1
$$

and $y \rightarrow(i, n)$ whenever $y \rightarrow x_{i}$ is an arrow in $\Gamma$ other than $x_{i+1} \rightarrow x_{i}$. The translation $\rho^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma[x, n]$ is defined so that for a vertex $z \in \Gamma, \rho^{\prime} z=\rho z$ if $z$ is different from all $x_{i}$ such that $\rho z$ is defined, and $\rho^{\prime} z=(n+i, 1)$ if $z=x_{i}$ for some $i \geq 1$, and $\rho^{\prime}(i, j)=(i, j+1)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j<n$, and finally $\rho^{\prime}(i, n)=\rho x_{i}$ if $\rho x_{i}$ is defined. We call $\Gamma[x, n]$ a translation quiver obtained from $\Gamma$ by coray insertion. From the construction we see that if $\Gamma$ is left stable, then $\Gamma[x, n]$ is also left stable and contains $n$ new projective vertices $(1,1), \ldots,(n, 1)$.

A ray vertex of a translation quiver is the dual concept to a coray vertex. Let $\Gamma$ be a translation quiver with a ray vertex $x$. For a positive integer $n$, we define a new translation quiver $[x, n] \Gamma$ by a construction dual to that of $\Gamma[x, n]$, and call $[x, n] \Gamma$ a translation quiver obtained from $\Gamma$ by ray insertion. And if $\Gamma$ is right stable, then $[x, n] \Gamma$ is right stable and contains $n$ new injective vertices.

Recall that a translation quiver is called a stable tube if it is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{A}_{\infty} /\left(\rho^{n}\right)$ for some $n>0$, where $\rho$ is the translation of $\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{A}_{\infty}$.
2.5. Definition. A translation quiver $\Gamma$ is called a coray tube if it is obtained from a stable tube by a sequence of coray insertions, and a ray tube if it is obtained from a stable tube by a sequence of ray insertions.

Let $\Gamma$ be a connected left stable translation quiver with translation $\rho$. It is not difficult to check that $\Gamma$ is a coray tube if and only if each vertex in $\Gamma$ has at most two direct predecessors and there exists a sectional path

$$
\rho^{r} x_{1} \rightarrow x_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{2} \rightarrow x_{1}
$$

in $\Gamma$, where $r>s \geq 1$ and $x_{i}=\rho^{n_{i}} q_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ with the $q_{i}$ distinct injective vertices and $n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{s}$. In this case $\Gamma$ is obtained by inserting $s$ corays into the stable tube of rank $r-s$ in a sequence of $t$ coray insertions, where $t$ is the number of distinct integers in $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right\}$.

## 3. Semi-stable Components

In this section we apply the results obtained in the preceding section to study the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{A}$.

Recall that the stable part ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ of $\Gamma_{A}$ is the full subquiver generated by the stable modules, and the connected components of the quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ are called the stable components of $\Gamma_{A}$. Accordingly we define the left stable part ${ }_{l} \Gamma_{A}$ of $\Gamma_{A}$ to be the full subquiver generated by the left stable modules, and the right stable part ${ }_{r} \Gamma_{A}$ to be the one generated by the right stable modules. The connected components of the quiver ${ }_{l} \Gamma_{A}$ are called the left stable components of $\Gamma_{A}$, and those of the quiver ${ }_{r} \Gamma_{A}$ are called the right stable components. We refer a semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ to a left stable or right stable component. A semi-stable component is trivial if it contains only one module.

The following shows that the semi-stable parts of $\Gamma_{A}$ carry sufficient information of $\Gamma_{A}$.
3.1. Proposition. Let $A$ be an artin algebra. Then
(1) All but finitely many modules in $\Gamma_{A}$ lie in some non-trivial semi-stable components of $\Gamma_{A}$.
(2) All but finitely many non-trivial semi-stable components of $\Gamma_{A}$ are connected components of $\Gamma_{A}$.
(3) Each connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$ is covered by finitely many semistable components of $\Gamma_{A}$.

Proof. (1) Let $X \in \Gamma_{A}$. If $X$ is left stable and not $\tau$-periodic, then there exists $r \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq r, \tau^{n} X$ is not a direct predecessor of any projective module in $\Gamma_{A}$. That is, the modules $\tau^{n} X$ with $n \geq r$ belong to the same left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Dually if $X$ is right stable, then either $X$ is $\tau$-periodic or there exists $s \geq 0$ such that the modules $\tau^{-m} X$ with $m \geq s$ belong to the same right stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Hence any $\tau$-orbit in $\Gamma_{A}$ contains at most finitely many modules which are not in any non-trivial semistable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Moreover, if $X$ is not in any non-trivial left stable component, then $X$ is in the $\tau$-orbit of a module which is either a projective module or a direct predecessor of a projective module. Thus there exist at most finitely $\tau$-orbits in $\Gamma_{A}$ which contain modules not in any non-trivial semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$.
(2) Let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. If $\Gamma$ is not a connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$, then it contains a module which is either a direct predecessor of a projective module or a direct successor of an injective module in $\Gamma_{A}$. This completes the proof since (3) clearly follows from the first two statements.

Let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Then $\Gamma$ is a translation quiver with the translation induced from the Auslander-Reiten translation in $\Gamma_{A}$.
3.2. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Then $\Gamma$ as a translation quiver is left stable and contains at most finitely many injective vertices.

Proof. Let $X \in \Gamma$. Then $X$ is not a projective module. If $\tau X \notin \Gamma$, then all direct predecessors and successors of $X$ in $\Gamma_{A}$ are not left stable modules. Thus $\Gamma$ contains only one module $X$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma$ is left stable as a translation quiver. Now let $Y$ be an injective vertex in $\Gamma$ which is not an injective module. Then $Y$ has a direct successor in $\Gamma_{A}$ which is not a left stable module. Hence there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\tau^{n} Y$ is a direct predecessor of a projective module in $\Gamma_{A}$. Note that different injective vertices in $\Gamma$ lie in different $\tau$-orbits of $\Gamma_{A}$. Thus $\Gamma$ has at most finitely many injective vertices.

As in immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5 and the above lemma, we can now describe the shapes of semi-stable components of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing no oriented cycle.
3.3. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ without oriented cycles. Then $\Gamma$ contains a section $\Delta$ of non-Dynkin type such that $\Delta$ contains a unique sink and has no projective predecessor in $\Gamma_{A}$. Consequently $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the full subquiver of $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ generated by the vertices $(n, X)$ with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $X \in \Delta$ such that $\mathrm{DTr}^{n} X \in \Gamma$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $\Gamma$ is a left stable translation quiver with at most finitely many injective vertices. By Theorem $2.4, \Gamma$ has a section $\Sigma$ with a unique sink. It is clear that there exists $t \geq 0$ such that for any $i \geq t, \tau^{i} \Sigma$ contains no direct predecessor of any projective module in $\Gamma_{A}$. Let $\Delta=\tau^{t} \Sigma$. Then $\Delta$ has no projective predecessor in $\Gamma_{A}$. Thus the predecessors of $\Delta$ in $\Gamma_{A}$ are all in $\Gamma$. Using Proposition 2.7 in [8] and Lemma 1.7 in [23], we infer that $\Delta$ is not of Dynkin type.

We shall now describe the shapes of semi-stable components of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing oriented cycles. First note that if a semi-stable component $\Gamma$ contains a $D T r$-periodic module, then all modules in $\Gamma$ are $D T r$-periodic. Hence we can apply the following result.
3.4. Theorem [30,55]. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing a DTr -periodic module.
(1) If $\Gamma$ is infinite, then $\Gamma$ is a stable tube.
(2) If $\Gamma$ is finite, then $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z} \Delta / G$, where $\Delta$ is a Dynkin quiver and $G$ is an automorphism group of $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$.

Remark. Hoshino considered a special case of the above result in [32]. He showed that if $X$ is a module in $\Gamma_{A}$ such that $D \operatorname{Tr} X=X$, then either the connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing $X$ is a homogeneous stable tube or $A$ is a local Nakayama algebra.

In the study of semi-stable components with oriented cycles, the following lemma plays a crucial role.
3.5. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. Assume that

$$
\sigma: \quad \cdots \rightarrow X_{s} \rightarrow X_{s-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}
$$

is an infinite sectional path in $\Gamma$ containing infinitely many arrows of finite global left degree. Then
(1) Each arrow in $\sigma$ has trivial valuation.
(2) Each module in $\sigma$ has at most two direct predecessors in $\Gamma$.
(3) $\sigma$ is not contained in any double infinite sectional path in $\Gamma$.

Proof. First of all (3) follows from Lemma 1.12.(2). We now claim that for any $i \geq 0$, the middle term of the almost split sequence ending with $X_{i}$ has at most two left stable indecomposable summands including multiplicities. Assume that for some $t \geq 0$, the middle term of the almost split sequence ending with $X_{t}$ admits a direct summand $Y \oplus Z \oplus X_{t+1}$ with $Y, Z$ left stable. By assumption, there exists $k>t$ such that the arrow $X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_{k}$ has finite global left degree. Since $\tau^{n+1} X_{k} \rightarrow \tau^{n} X_{k+1}$ is of infinite left degree for any $n \geq 0$ by Lemma 1.5, there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $\tau^{m} X_{k+1} \rightarrow \tau^{m} X_{k}$ is of finite left degree. Thus $\tau^{m+k-t} X_{t+1} \rightarrow \tau^{m+k-t} X_{t}$ is of finite degree by Lemma 1.5 again. Since $\tau^{m+k-t} X_{t+1} \oplus \tau^{m+k-t} Y \oplus \tau^{m+k-t} Z$ is a summand of the middle term of the almost split sequence ending with $\tau^{m+k-t} X_{t}$, there exists an irreducible map

$$
g: \tau^{m+k-t+1} X_{t} \rightarrow \tau^{m+k-t} Y \oplus \tau^{m+k-t} Z
$$

of finite left degree. By Proposition 1.10, there exists an irreducible map

$$
h: \tau^{m+k-t+1} Y \oplus \tau^{m+k-t+1} Z \rightarrow \tau^{m+k-t+1} X_{t}
$$

of finite degree, which is a contradiction to Lemma 1.5. Thus what we claimed is true. Hence each $X_{i}$ with $i \geq 0$ has at most two direct predecessors in $\Gamma$ and each arrow $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{i}$ with $i>0$ has trivial valuation. Let ( $d, d^{\prime}$ ) be the valuation of the arrow $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}$. Then $d=1$. Assume that $d^{\prime}>1$. Then there exists an irreducible map from $X_{1} \oplus X_{1}$ to $X_{0}$. By Lemma 1.12.(1), $\sigma$ contains no arrow of finite global left degree, which is a contradiction. Hence $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}$ also has trivial valuation. The proof is completed.

A valued translation quiver is said to be smooth if each arrow has trivial valuation and each vertex has at most two direct predecessors and at most two direct successors.
3.6. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a non-trivial left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing no DTr -periodic module. If $\Gamma$ contains an oriented cycle, then it is smooth and contains an infinite sectional path

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{DTr}^{r} X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{DTr}^{r} X_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{DTr}^{r} X_{1} \rightarrow X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}
$$

where $r>s \geq 1$, and the $X_{i}$ are not all stable and meet each DTr -orbit in $\Gamma$ exactly once.

Proof. Assume that $\Gamma$ contains an oriented cycle. Let

$$
Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{s} \rightarrow Y_{s+1} \quad(*)
$$

be a path in $\Gamma$ of minimal positive length such that $Y_{s+1}=\tau^{t} Y_{1}$ for some $t \geq 0$. Then $t>0$ since $\Gamma$ contains no $\tau$-periodic module and $\Gamma_{A}$ contains no sectional oriented cycle [13]. Suppose that $Y_{j}=\tau^{k} Y_{i}$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq s$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then we have paths

$$
Y_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{j}=\tau^{k} X_{i}
$$

and

$$
Y_{j} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{s} \rightarrow \tau^{t} Y_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{t} Y_{i}=\tau^{t-k} Y_{j}
$$

of length $<s$. This contradicts the minimality of the length of the path $(*)$ since either $k \geq 0$ or $t-k>0$. Hence we have shown that the $Y_{i}$ with
$1 \leq i \leq s$ belong to pairwise different $\tau$-orbits. Let $r=s+t$ and $X_{i}=\tau^{i-1} Y_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $r>s$ and

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{2} \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{1} \rightarrow X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1} \quad(* *)
$$

is an infinite sectional path in $\Gamma$. For each $j \geq 0$, consider the subpath

$$
\tau^{(j+1) r} X_{1} \rightarrow \tau^{j r} X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{j r} X_{2} \rightarrow \tau^{j r} X_{1} \quad(* * *)
$$

of the path $(* *)$. Since $r>s$, there exists an oriented cycle from $\tau^{(j+1) r} X_{1}$ to $\tau^{(j+1) r} X_{1}$ containing only modules of form $\tau^{n} X_{i}$ with $j r \leq n \leq(j+1) r$. Hence the path $(* * *)$ contains an arrow of finite global left degree. Therefore the path $(* *)$ contains infinitely many arrows of finite global left degree. By Lemma 3.5, each module in the path $(* *)$ has at most two direct predecessors in $\Gamma$ and each arrow has trivial valuation. Moreover at least one the of $X_{i}$ is not stable since otherwise $(* *)$ could be extended to a double infinite sectional path in $\Gamma$.

Assume that $\tau^{p} X_{j}$ with $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ is a module in $\Gamma$ and $Z$ is a direct predecessor of $\tau^{p} X_{j}$ in $\Gamma$. Let $q>0$ be an integer such that $q r-p>0$. Then $\tau^{q r-p} Z$ is a direct predecessor of $\tau^{q r} X_{j}$. Thus $\tau^{q r-p} Z$, and hence $Z$ is in the $\tau$-orbit of the $X_{i}$. Therefore all modules in $\Gamma$ belong to the $\tau$-orbits of the $X_{i}$ since $\Gamma$ is left stable. That is, the $X_{i}$ constitute a complete set of representatives of the $\tau$-orbits in $\Gamma$. Now it is easy to see that each module in $\Gamma$ has at most two direct predecessors in $\Gamma$ and each arrow in $\Gamma$ has trivial valuation. This completes the proof.

A connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$ is said to be semiregular if it does not contain both a projective module and an injective module. Hence a semiregular component itself is a semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. As a special case of the above result, we have the following.
3.7. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semiregular component of $\Gamma_{A}$ with an oriented cycle. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a coray tube, a stable tube or a ray tube.

Proof. First of all $\mathcal{C}$ is clearly infinite $[\mathbf{1}]$. We need only consider the case where $\mathcal{C}$ contains no projective module. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is not a stable tube. Then $\mathcal{C}$ contains no $\tau$-periodic module. Thus by Theorem $3.6, \mathcal{C}$ is smooth and it contains an infinite sectional path

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{2} \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{1} \rightarrow X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>s \geq 1$, and the $X_{i}$ are not all stable and meet each $\tau$-orbit in $\mathcal{C}$ exactly once. For convenience, let $Z_{k s+j}=\tau^{k r} X_{j}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. We claim that for any $m, i>0$, if $\tau^{-m} Z_{i}$ is defined, then $\tau^{-m} Z_{i+1}$ is also defined. Suppose that this is not true. Let $n>0$ be the least such that $\tau^{-n} Z_{i_{0}}$ is defined, but $\tau^{-n} Z_{i_{0}+1}$ is not. Then $\tau^{-n+1} Z_{i}$ is defined for all $i \geq i_{0}$ and $\tau^{-n+1} Z_{i_{0}+1}$ is injective. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is smooth, $\tau^{n} Z_{i_{0}}$ has exactly one direct predecessor, say $Y_{0}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then there exists an irreducible monomorphism from $\tau^{-n+1} Z_{i_{0}}$ to $Y_{0}$. Thus $Y_{0}$ has exactly two distinct direct predecessors $\tau^{-n+1} Z_{i_{0}}$ and $Y_{1}$, and there exists an irreducible monomorphism from $\tau Y_{0}$ to $Y_{1}$. Similarly $Y_{1}$ has exactly two distinct direct predecessors $\tau Y_{0}$ and $Y_{2}$, and there exists an irreducible monomorphism from $\tau Y_{1}$ to $Y_{2}$. Inductively we get an infinite sectional path

$$
\cdots \rightarrow Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{0}
$$

in $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 1.12. (1), the infinite sectional path

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \tau^{-n+1} Z_{i+1} \rightarrow \tau^{-n+1} Z_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{-n+1} Z_{i_{0}+1} \rightarrow \tau^{-n+1} Z_{i_{0}} \rightarrow Y_{0}
$$

contains no arrow of finite global left degree, and hence neither does the path $(*)$. This is clearly a contradiction. Therefore our claim is true. Thus the $X_{i}$ are all non-stable, and if $X_{i}=\tau^{n_{i}} I_{i}$ with $I_{i}$ injective and $n_{i} \geq 0$, then $n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{s}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ is a coray tube.

From Theorems 3.3 and 3.7, the shapes of semiregular Auslander-Reiten components are fairly well-described. However so far we have no affirmative answer to the following well-known problem.

Problem 1. Let A be a connected artin algebra of infinite representation type. Does the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A necessarily have a semiregular component or at least two connected components?

To conclude this section, we shall have a short discussion on the problem of which valued translation quivers can be realized as Auslander-Reiten components. First of all, Brenner has established a combinatorial criterion for a finite valued translation quiver to occur as an Auslander-Reiten quiver [18]. Secondly one knows that all coray tubes, stable tubes and ray tubes can be realized as semiregular components of Auslander-Reiten quivers [22].

Finally we consider $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ with $\Delta$ a locally finite and symmetrizable valued quiver without oriented cycles. A combinatorial argument shows that $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ is not realizable if $\Delta$ is of Dynkin or Euclidean type. On the other hand, if $\Delta$ is neither Dynkin nor Euclidean such that all but finitely many vertices in $\Delta$ have at most two neighbours and all but finitely many arrows have trivial valuation, then $\mathbf{Z} \Delta$ can be realized as a regular component of an Auslander-Reiten quiver $[\mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{5 1}]$. For the remaining cases, the problem is still open.

## 4. Non-semiregular Components

In this section we shall study almost split sequences in non-semiregular components of $\Gamma_{A}$. The result shows that an Auslander-Reiten component either is not too complicate or behaves fairly well.

For a module $M$ in $\bmod A$, we denote by $\ell(M)$ its composition length.
4.1. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let

$$
0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} \oplus_{i=1}^{r} Y_{i} \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0
$$

be an almost split sequence in $\bmod A$ with the $Y_{i}$ indecomposable. If $\ell(X)>$ $\ell\left(Y_{i}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, then any sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ ending with $Z$ contains no projective module.

Proof. Assume that $\ell(X)>\ell\left(Y_{i}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let

$$
Z_{n} \rightarrow Z_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_{1} \rightarrow Z_{0}=Z
$$

be a sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ with $n>0$. Then $Z_{1} \cong Y_{k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq r$, and hence $\ell(X)>\ell\left(Z_{1}\right)$. So $Z_{1}$ is not projective. If $n>1$, then $\ell\left(\tau Z_{1}\right)>\ell\left(Z_{2}\right)$, and hence $Z_{2}$ is not projective. Continuing this process, we conclude that all $Z_{i}$ are not projective.
4.2. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $f: X \rightarrow \oplus_{1}^{4} Y_{i}$ be an irreducible map in $\bmod A$, where $X$ is indecomposable and the $Y_{i}$ are indecomposable non-projective. If

$$
2 \ell(X) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \ell\left(Y_{i}\right),
$$

then $X$ has no projective predecessor in $\Gamma_{A}$.
Proof. Suppose that $2 \ell(X) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \ell\left(Y_{i}\right)$. Since $\ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right)+\ell\left(Y_{i}\right) \geq \ell(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right) \geq 4 \ell(X)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} \ell\left(Y_{i}\right)>\ell(X)
$$

Thus $X$ is non-projective. Let $\tau X \rightarrow W$ be an arrow in $\Gamma_{A}$. If $W \not \approx \tau Y_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$, then
$\ell(\tau X) \geq \ell(W)+\sum_{1}^{4} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right)-\ell(X) \geq \ell(W)+\sum_{1}^{4}\left(\ell(X)-\ell\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-\ell(X)>\ell(W)$.
If $W \cong \tau Y_{i}$ for some $i$, say $W \cong \tau Y_{1}$, then

$$
\ell(\tau X) \geq \sum_{1}^{4} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right)-\ell(X) \geq \ell(W)+\sum_{2}^{4}\left(\ell(X)-\ell\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-\ell(X)>\ell(W)
$$

By Lemma 4.1, any sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ ending with $X$ contains no projective module. Moreover,

$$
\ell(\tau X) \geq \sum_{1}^{4} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right)-\ell(X) \geq \sum_{1}^{4}\left(\ell(X)-\ell\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-\ell(X) \geq \ell(X)
$$

Thus $2 \ell(\tau X) \geq \sum_{1}^{4} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right)$. Similarly any sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ ending with $\tau X$ contains no projective module. The lemma now follows by induction.
4.3. Corollary. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $f: X \rightarrow \oplus_{1}^{4} Y_{i}$ an irreducible epimorphism in $\bmod A$ with $X$ and the $Y_{i}$ indecomposable. Then $X$ has no projective predecessor in $\Gamma_{A}$.

We are ready to get our main result of this section.
4.4. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let

$$
0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} \oplus_{i=1}^{r} Y_{i} \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0
$$

be an almost split sequence in $\bmod A$ with the $Y_{i}$ indecomposable. Assume that $X$ has a projective predecessor and $Z$ has an injective successor in $\Gamma_{A}$.

Then $r \leq 4$, and the equality occurs only when one of the $Y_{i}$ is projectiveinjective and the others are neither.

Proof. Let $r \geq 4$. We first consider the case where $\ell(Z) \geq \ell(X)$. Then $2 \ell(Z) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \ell\left(Y_{i}\right)$. By the dual of Lemma 4.2, one of the $Y_{i}$, say $Y_{r}$ is injective. Then $\ell(X)>\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \ell\left(Y_{i}\right)$. Thus $r=4$ by Corollary 4.3. Moreover $X$ is not projective since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right) \geq 3 \ell(X)-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \ell\left(Y_{i}\right)>\ell(X)
$$

Assume that $Y_{4}$ is not projective. Then the modules $\tau Y_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 4$ are not injective. Since $X$ has an injective successor in $\Gamma_{A}$, we have $\ell(\tau X)>\ell(X)$ by the dual of Lemma 4.2. Hence $\tau X$ has no projective predecessor in $\Gamma_{A}$ by Lemma 4.2. Thus there exists a sectional path in $\Gamma_{A}$ ending with $X$ which contains a projective module. By Lemma 4.1, there exists an arrow $\tau X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\ell(\tau X)<\ell(Y)$. If $Y \not \approx \tau Y_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$, then $\sum_{1}^{4} \ell\left(\tau Y_{i}\right)<\ell(X)$. This contradicts the dual of Lemma 4.2 since $X$ has an injective successor in $\Gamma_{A}$. Thus $Y \cong \tau Y_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 4$. However since

$$
\ell\left(\tau Y_{1}\right)+\ell\left(\tau Y_{2}\right) \geq 2 \ell(X)-\left(\ell\left(Y_{1}\right)+\ell\left(Y_{2}\right)\right)>\ell(X)
$$

we have $\ell(\tau X)>\ell\left(\tau Y_{3}\right)+\ell\left(\tau Y_{4}\right)$. Similarly we have $\ell(\tau X)>\ell\left(\tau Y_{1}\right)$ since $\ell\left(\tau Y_{2}\right)+\ell\left(\tau Y_{3}\right)>\ell(X)$ and $\ell(\tau X)>\ell\left(\tau Y_{2}\right)$ since $\ell\left(\tau Y_{1}\right)+\ell\left(\tau Y_{3}\right)>\ell(X)$. This contradiction shows that $Y_{4}$ is projective. Thus the theorem holds in this case. Dually we can show that the theorem holds in the case where $\ell(X) \geq \ell(Z)$.

Remark. (1) The above theorem also holds if we assume instead that $X$ is $D T r$-periodic.
(2) It is well-known that if $A$ is of finite representation type, then any indecomposable module has a projective predecessor and an injective successor in $\Gamma_{A}$. Hence the above result generalizes the Bautista-Brenner theorem [10].

## 5. Modules of Bounded Lengths in a Component

In this section we are concerned with the problem whether the number of modules in an Auslander-Reiten component of the same length is finite.

We will show that this is not true in general by an infinite $D T r$-orbit of modules of dimension four. Nevertheless it is still interesting to investigate in which Auslander-Reiten components the problem has an affirmative answer. For example, this is always the case for Auslander-Reiten components of hereditary algebras $[49,57]$ and tame algebras $[20]$.
5.1. Lemma. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing oriented cycles. Then for any module $X \in \Gamma$, the set $\left\{\mathrm{DTr}^{n} X ; n \geq 0\right\}$ contains at most finitely many modules of any given length.

Proof. Assume that $\Gamma$ is infinite. If $\Gamma$ is a stable tube, then the modules in $\Gamma$ belong to a finite number of corays in $\Gamma$, and each of which contains at most finitely many modules of any given length by the Harada-Sai lemma and Proposition 1.1. Thus the lemma holds in this case. Otherwise by Theorem 3.6, $\Gamma$ is smooth and contains an infinite sectional path

$$
\sigma: \quad \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{2} \rightarrow \tau^{r} X_{1} \rightarrow X_{s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}
$$

where the $X_{i}$ constitute a complete set of representatives of the $\tau$-orbits in $\Gamma$. Note that the modules $\tau^{n} X_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n \geq 0$ are distributed into $r$ sectional paths $\tau^{j} \sigma, j=0,1, \ldots, r-1$. The lemma follows again by the Harada-Sai Lemma and Proposition 1.1.
5.2. Proposition. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. If there exists a module $M \in \Gamma$ such that $\left\{\mathrm{DTr}^{n} M ; n \geq 0\right\}$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length, then $\Gamma$ has a section of type $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$.

Proof. First of all there exists a constant $c>1$ such that for any arrow $U \rightarrow V$ in $\Gamma_{A}, c^{-1} \ell(V) \leq \ell(U) \leq c \ell(V)$ (see [48, (2.1)]). Assume that $\left\{\tau^{n} M ; n \geq 0\right\}$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length for some $M \in \Gamma$. Then $\left\{\tau^{n} N ; n \geq 0\right\}$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length for any module $N \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 5.1, $\Gamma$ contains no oriented cycle. By Theorem 3.3, $\Gamma$ contains a section $\Delta$ with a unique sink $X$ such that all predecessors of $\Delta$ in $\Gamma_{A}$ are in $\Gamma$.

Assume that $\Delta$ is finite, say it has $m$ modules. Let $b$ be an integer such that $\ell\left(\tau^{j} X\right)=b$ for infinitely many $j>0$. Note $\ell\left(\tau^{j} X\right)=b$ implies that $\ell\left(\tau^{j} Y\right) \leq c^{m} b$ for any $Y \in \Delta$. Thus there exist infinitely many $j>0$ such that the modules in $\tau^{j} \Delta$ are of length $\leq c^{m} b$. Now choose a non-zero map
$\theta: P \rightarrow X$ with $P$ a projective module in $\Gamma_{A}$. Then there exists an infinite chain

$$
\cdots \rightarrow X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i}} X_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} X_{0}=X
$$

of irreducible maps and homomorphisms $\theta_{j}: P \rightarrow X_{j}$ for all $j>1$ such that $f=\theta_{i} f_{i-1} \cdots f_{0}$. Note that the $X_{i}$ belong to $\Gamma$. Since $\Delta$ is finite, the modules $X_{i}$ intersect $\tau^{j} \Delta$ for each $j \geq 0$. This contradicts the Harada-Sai Lemma. Thus $\Delta$ is infinite. By König's lemma, there exists an infinite path

$$
\cdots \rightarrow Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{0}=X
$$

in $\Delta$. By the Harada-Sai lemma and Lemma 1.3.(2), each arrow $Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_{i}$ has finite global left degree. Thus $\Delta$ is of type $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$ by Lemmas 3.4 and 1.12.

As an immediate consequence we have the following.
5.3. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. If there exists a DTr -orbit in $\Gamma$ which contains an infinite number of modules of the same length, then $\Gamma$ is of shape $\mathbf{Z A}_{\infty}$.

Proof. Assume that $\Gamma$ contains a module $X$ whose $\tau$-orbit contains an infinite number of modules of the same length. We may assume that $\left\{\tau^{n} X ; n \leq 0\right\}$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length. By the dual of Proposition 5.2, the right stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ containing $\Gamma$ has a section of type $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$. Consequently $\Gamma$ has a section of type $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$. Hence $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z A}_{\infty}$.

We believe that the above result should hold in a more general context as follows.

Problem 2. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\Gamma$ be a stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. If $\Gamma$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length, is it necessarily of shape $\mathbf{Z A}_{\infty}$ ?

As another application of Proposition 5.2, we obtain the following theorem of Bautista and Coelho which generalizes the the related results in $[\mathbf{3 6}$, 41].
5.4. Theorem [12]. Let $A$ be an artin algebra, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected component of $\Gamma_{A}$ in which all but finitely many DTr -orbits are periodic. Then $\mathcal{C}$ contains at most finitely many modules of any given length.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a semi-stable, say left stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Assume that $\Gamma$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length. We have seen that $\Gamma$ is not a stable tube. Thus $\Gamma$ has only finitely many $\tau$-orbits by the assumption on $\mathcal{C}$. Hence $\Gamma$ has a module $X$ whose $\tau$-orbit contains an infinite number of modules of the same length. By Proposition 5.2, $X$ is right stable and the set $\left\{\tau^{n} X ; n \leq 0\right\}$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length. Note that there exists some $t \leq 0$ such that the modules $\tau^{n} X$ with $n \leq t$ belong to the same right stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$. By the dual of Proposition 5.2, this right stable component has a section of type $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\Gamma$ contains at most finitely many modules of any given length. The result now follows from Proposition 3.1.

Recall that $A$ is of strongly unbounded representation type if there exist infinitely many positive integers $d$ such that there exist infinitely many modules of length $d$ in $\Gamma_{A}$. Smalø has showed that $A$ is of strongly unbounded representation type if $\Gamma_{A}$ contains an infinite number of modules of the same length. The second Brauer-Thrall conjecture, which has been established for algebras over infinite perfect fields $[\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 6}, 42]$, states that a finite dimensional algebra over an infinite field is either of finite representation type or of strongly unbounded representation type.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.4, we have the following.
5.5. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra. Assume that $\Gamma_{A}$ has only finitely many DTr -orbits. Then $A$ is not of strongly unbounded representation type. Consequently if in addition $A$ is a finite dimensional algebra over an infinite perfect field, then $A$ is of finite representation type.

Proof. By assumption $\Gamma_{A}$ has only finitely many connected components. By Theorem 5.4, each connected component has at most finitely many modules of the same length. Thus $A$ is not of strongly unbounded representation type.

It is well-known that if $A$ is of finite representation type, then every nonzero non-isomorphism between indecomposable modules is a sum of composites of irreducible maps [6]. We shall show that the converse is true for algebras over infinite perfect fields.
5.6. Theorem. Let $A$ be an artin algebra. Assume that every nonzero non-isomorphism between modules in $\Gamma_{A}$ is a sum of composites of irreducible maps. Then $A$ is not of strongly unbounded representation type. Consequently if in addition $A$ is a finite dimensional algebra over an infinite perfect field, then $A$ is of finite representation type.

Proof. By assumption, every module in $\Gamma_{A}$ is a successor of a projective module and a predecessor of an injective module. Thus $\Gamma_{A}$ has only finitely many connected components. Let $\Gamma$ be a semi-stable component of $\Gamma_{A}$ without $\tau$-periodic modules. By Theorem 3.3 and its dual, $\Gamma$ contains oriented cycles. By Theorem 3.6 and its dual, $\Gamma$ contains only finitely many $\tau$-orbits. Hence by Proposition 3.1, all but finitely many $\tau$-orbits in $\Gamma_{A}$ are $\tau$-periodic. The theorem follows now from Theorem 5.4.

In the preceding results we have to use the second Brauer-Thrall conjecture to deduce that $A$ is of finite representation type. A direct proof will be useful to give an affirmative answer to the following.

Problem 3. Let $A$ be an artin algebra. Is $A$ necessarily of finite representation type if either (i) there exist only finitely many DTr -orbits in $\Gamma_{A}$ or (ii) every non-zero non-isomorphism between modules in $\Gamma_{A}$ is a sum of composites of irreducible maps?

We shall now conclude these notes with the promised example. In [5], Schulz found an $\Omega$-bounded but not $\Omega$-periodic module over a $Q F$-algebra. A symmetrization of the algebra yields an infinite $D T r$-orbit of modules of bounded dimension.
5.7. Example [40]. Let $\lambda$ be a complex number of multiplicative order $\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{\infty\}$. Let $R_{\alpha}$ be a $\mathbf{C}$-algebra generated by $x, y$ with relations

$$
x^{2}=y^{2}=y x+\lambda x y=0 .
$$

Let $T_{\alpha}$ be the trivial extension of $R_{\alpha}$ by $D R_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(R_{\alpha}, \mathbf{C}\right)$. If $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is the $\mathbf{C}$-basis of $D R_{\alpha}$ dual to the $\mathbf{C}$-basis $\{1, x, y, x y\}$ of $R_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\{1, x, y, x y, a, b, c, d\}
$$

is a C-basis of $T_{\alpha}$ with multiplication as follows:

|  | 1 | $x$ | $y$ | $x y$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | $x$ | $y$ | $x y$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| $x$ | $x$ | 0 | $x y$ | 0 | 0 | $a$ | 0 | $-\lambda c$ |
| $y$ | $y$ | $-\lambda x y$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ | $b$ |
| $x y$ | $x y$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $b$ | $b$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $c$ | $c$ | 0 | $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $d$ | $d$ | $c$ | $-\lambda b$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note $T_{\alpha}$ is a local algebra with $J\left(T_{\alpha}\right)^{4}=0$, where $J\left(T_{\alpha}\right)$ is the radical of $T_{\alpha}$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $M_{i}=\left(x+\lambda^{i} y\right) T_{\alpha}$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} M_{i}=4$. Since $\left(x+\lambda^{i} y\right)(x+$ $\left.\lambda^{i+1} y\right)=0, M_{i+1}$ is in the kernel of the epimorphism from $T_{\alpha}$ to $M_{i}$ by the left multiplication with $\left(x+\lambda^{i} y\right)$. A simple calculation of the dimensions shows that $M_{i+1}$ is the kernel. Thus

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow T_{\alpha} \rightarrow M_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence. Hence $M_{i}=\Omega^{i} M_{\mathrm{o}}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note $D T r=\Omega^{2 i}$ in this case. Thus the modules $M_{2 i}$ with $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ constitute a $D T r$-orbit.

By Theorem 5.3, the stable component of $\Gamma_{T_{\alpha}}$ containing the $M_{2 i}$ is either a stable tube or of shape $\mathbf{Z A}_{\infty}$. Note that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} J\left(T_{\alpha}\right)=7$. By calculating the dimensions, we infer that the stable component containing $M_{0}$ is a regular component of $\Gamma_{T_{\alpha}}$.

Let $0 \leq i<j<\alpha$ be integers. Using the fact that $T_{\alpha}=\mathbf{C}+J\left(T_{\alpha}\right)$ and $J\left(T_{\alpha}\right)^{4}=0$, we deduce that $M_{j}\left(x+\lambda^{j+1} y\right) J\left(T_{\alpha}\right)=0$, and that

$$
M_{i}\left(x+\lambda^{j+1} y\right) J(T)=\left(\lambda^{j+1}-\lambda^{i+1}\right)(x y) J\left(T_{\alpha}\right) \neq 0
$$

since $x y d=a$. Thus $M_{i}, M_{j}$ have different annihilators in $T_{\alpha}$, and hence they are not isomorphic.
(1) If $\alpha=\infty$, then the modules $M_{i}$ with $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ are pairwise not isomorphic. Hence the modules $M_{2 i}$ with $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ constitute an infinite $D T r$-orbit of modules of dimension four.
(2) Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\alpha=2 n$. Then $M_{2 n}=M_{0}$ and the modules $M_{i}$ with $0 \leq i<2 n$ are pairwise not isomorphic. Thus $M_{0}$ is $D T r$-periodic of period $n$. So the regular component containing $M_{0}$ is a stable tube of
rank $n$. Note that the algebra $T_{2 n}$ has only one simple module. Therefore the rank of a stable tube in general is not bounded by any function of the number of simple modules. This is in contrast to the case where the rank of a generalized standard stable tube is at most $s+1$ with $s$ the number of simple modules. Here an Auslander-Reiten component $\mathcal{C}$ is generalized standard if $\Re^{\infty}(X, Y)=0$ for all modules $X, Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (see [53]).
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